

Jill M. Mhyre, MD  
Dola S. Thompson Professor  
Director of Obstetric Anesthesiology  
Vice-Chair for Research  
Department of Anesthesiology  
University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences  
[JMMhyre@uams.edu](mailto:JMMhyre@uams.edu)

Stephanie Martin, DO  
Medical Director, Clinical Concepts  
[drsmartin1@me.com](mailto:drsmartin1@me.com)

Dr Zelop receives royalties from uptodate on the topic of maternal cardiac arrest. The other authors report no conflict of interest.

#### REFERENCES

1. Zelop CM, Einav S, Mhyre JM, Martin S. Cardiac arrest during pregnancy: ongoing clinical conundrum: an expert review. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2018;219:52–61.
2. American Heart Association. 2015 guidelines for cardiopulmonary resuscitation. Executive summary. Part 6. Alternative techniques and ancillary devices for CPR. Available at: <https://eccguidelines.heart.org/index.php/circulation/cpr-ecc-guidelines-2/executive-summaries/part-1-executive-summary/>. Accessed Sept. 4, 2018.
3. Biderman P, Carmi U, Setton E, Fainblut M, Bachar O, Einav S. Maternal salvage with extracorporeal life support: lessons learned in a single center. *Anesth Analg* 2017;125:1275–80.

© 2018 Published by Elsevier Inc. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2018.09.021>

## A clinician's concerns about motor function outcomes of fetal surgery for myelomeningocele



**TO THE EDITORS:** In 2011, Adzick et al<sup>1</sup> reported the outcomes of the Management of Myelomeningocele Study (MOMS) of fetal surgery vs postnatal surgery for myelomeningocele. Enrollment was closed early because the benefit of fetal surgery was demonstrated in all outcome domains. Farmer et al<sup>2</sup> recently (2018) reported in the Journal motor function outcomes at 30 months of age for the complete study population and confirmed that fetal surgery resulted in better motor function segmental level compared with the anatomic level of lesion and better ambulation status than did postnatal surgery.

I am a neurodevelopmental pediatrician who took care of patients with spina bifida for 35 years. I have the following concerns about the motor function outcomes reported by MOMS<sup>1,2</sup>:

1. The age at which motor function outcomes was determined, 30 months, is too young to assess both muscle function segmental level<sup>3</sup> and ambulation status in myelomeningocele accurately.<sup>4</sup> This casts doubt on the validity of main motor function outcomes of MOMS.
2. In Farmer et al<sup>2</sup>, male sex appears to be an unrecognized confounder favoring better motor function outcomes in fetal surgery.<sup>1,2</sup>
3. Motor function impairment from spinal cord tethering may be more frequent after fetal surgery than postnatal surgery. Adzick et al<sup>1</sup> found a trend toward a greater prevalence of tethered cord surgery in the fetal surgery cohort than in postnatal surgery cohort at 12 months old (8% vs 1%;  $P = .06$ ),<sup>1</sup> but prevalences of tethered cord surgery in the 2 cohorts at 30 months of age were not reported by Farmer et al.<sup>2</sup>
4. The primary motor outcome of MOMS, called walking independently, favored fetal surgery by 40% to 24%, but walking independently is too easily misconstrued by both parents who are deciding about fetal surgery and by obstetricians who are counseling them. It is defined in the

*Methods* section as “taking 10 steps without devices,” a low bar for ambulation for 30 month old children, most of whom can run and jump. Furthermore, motor function differences were less marked on the widely accepted secondary outcome measure, the Bayley Scales of Infant Development (15% in the normal range for fetal surgery vs 7%).

Parents and obstetricians need to understand that it is likely that most patients who have had fetal surgery will have life-long impairments of muscle function and mobility and consider this when weighing risks and benefits. ■

Gordon Worley, MD  
Division of Pediatric Neurology  
Department of Pediatrics  
Duke University Medical Center  
408 Deming Road  
Durham, NC 27514  
[gordon.worley@duke.edu](mailto:gordon.worley@duke.edu)

The authors report no conflict of interest.

#### REFERENCES

1. Adzick NS, Thom EA, Spong CY, et al. A randomized trial of prenatal versus postnatal repair of myelomeningocele. *N Engl J Med* 2011;36:993–1004.
2. Farmer DL, Thom EA, Brock JW 3rd, et al. The Management of Myelomeningocele Study: full cohort 30-month pediatric outcomes. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2018;218:256.e1–13.
3. McDonald CM, Jaffe KM, Shurtleff DB. Assessment of muscle strength in children with meningomyelocele: accuracy and stability of measurements over time. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1986;67:855–61.
4. McDonald CM, Jaffe KM, Mosca VS, Shurtleff DB. Ambulatory outcome of children with myelomeningocele: effect of lower-extremity muscle strength. *Dev Med Child Neurol* 1999;33:482–90.

© 2018 Published by Elsevier Inc. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2018.09.020>