

Maternal mortality in the United States: predictability and the impact of protocols on fatal postcesarean pulmonary embolism and hypertension-related intracranial hemorrhage

TO THE EDITORS: I applaud the work that Clark et al¹ are doing and sharing to decrease maternal mortality. Their approach will hopefully make pregnancy and delivery safer for more and more women. Although I am enthusiastic about their work, if I review their article in a scientific fashion, I have concerns about their conclusions.

I do not believe that the authors' conclusions can be made using their study design. In their investigation of fatal postcesarean pulmonary embolism, the authors only looked at mortality data and not total postcesarean pulmonary embolism incidence data. I can think of other explanations that can account for the decrease in deaths from postcesarean pulmonary emboli. One possible explanation is an improved awareness of the risk of postcesarean pulmonary embolism and thus earlier diagnosis and earlier initiation of treatment. Another possibility is the use of improved and/or more aggressive treatment regimens for patients with postcesarean pulmonary emboli. To state that the policy of universal use of pneumatic compression devices "resulted in" or "reduced dramatically" fatal postcesarean pulmonary emboli is overreaching. A more appropriate conclusion would be that the authors' protocol is correlated with a decrease in fatal postcesarean pulmonary embolism.

I endorse the use of venous thromboembolic prophylaxis for women undergoing cesarean delivery. Currently, I do not know what the optimal prophylaxis strategy is. I am concerned that premature conclusions about the efficacy of any strategy may blunt our advancement in the safe care of women. ■

Leo R. Brancazio, MD
Duke University Hospital
Division of Maternal-Fetal Medicine
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Duke University Medical Center
Durham, NC

leo.brancazio@duke.edu

The authors report no conflict of interest.

REFERENCE

1. Clark SL, Christmas JT, Frye DR, Meyers JA, Perlin JB. Maternal mortality in the United States: predictability and the impact of protocols on fatal postcesarean pulmonary embolism and hypertension-related intracranial hemorrhage. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2014;211:32.e1-9.

© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2014.07.061>

REPLY

Dr Brancazio's tautologic observation is of course correct; in the absence of multiple prospective, randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled crossover trials, or the fulfillment of Koch's postulates, it is difficult to categorically prove a cause-and-effect relationship in medicine. Unfortunately, this shortcoming applies to most treatments currently in use in clinical obstetrics. However, when one applies a technique proven to reduce pulmonary embolism by about 70% in most other areas of surgery to cesarean delivery, and finds about a 70% reduction in deaths from pulmonary embolism in the years immediately following this change with an N >1 million in study and control groups, it is reasonable to infer a causal connection, particularly when available diagnostic and therapeutic tools were the same in both time periods. ■

Steven L. Clark, MD
James T. Christmas, MD
Hospital Corporation of America
Nashville, TN
Steven.clark1@hcahealthcare.com

The authors report no conflict of interest.

© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2014.07.062>

Complications following "Brazilian" waxing for pubic hair removal

TO THE EDITORS: We read with interest the article by Demaria et al¹ as pubic hair removal has gained more popularity in recent years. Various methods of hair removal

may also be associated with sexually transmitted diseases. Primary genital herpes from contamination from a waxing salon has been described in the literature.² Cases of

molluscum contagiosum outbreak and septic shock due to staphylococcal infection are yet other complications of pubic waxing.³ Contamination of waxing tools such as a spatula from a previously infected patient or the individual performing it rather than the waxing tub are more likely causes of transmission of herpetic infection, or even a shingles outbreak in a patient under significant stress. Although reduction in pubic lice as a benefit of pubic hair removal has been reported in Britain,³ herpes simplex following Brazilian waxing is an important concern in immunocompromised patients.⁴ ■

Laxmi V. Baxi, MD
Margaret Dziadosz, MD
New York University Langone Medical Center
New York University School of Medicine
New York, NY
baxilax@gmail.com

The authors report no conflict of interest.

REPLY DECLINED

REFERENCES

1. Demaria A, Flores M, Hirth JM, Berenson AB. Complications related to pubic hair removal. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2014;210:528-30.
2. Schmidtberger L, Ladiznski B, Ramirez-Fort MK. Wax on, wax off: pubic hair grooming and potential complications. *JAMA Dermatol* 2014;150:122.
3. Desruelles F, Cunningham SA, Dubois D. Pubic hair removal: a risk factor for "minor" STI such as molluscum contagiosum? *Sex Transm Infect* 2013;89:216.
4. Dendle C, Mulvey S, Pyrlis F, Grayson ML, Johnson PD. Severe complications of a "Brazilian" bikini wax. *Clin Infect Dis* 2007;45:e29-31.

© 2014 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2014.07.063>

In our third century

Outstanding in the field since 1869

The January 2010 issue of this Journal, volume 202, number 1, marks its 90th year of continuous publication and its 141st with a brief hiatus.

During the first 41 years (1869–1919) of the Journal's existence, its title was the *American Journal of Obstetrics and Diseases of Women and Children*. Financial difficulties closed that journal's doors after the publication of volume 50.

The need persisted, however, and the interruption was short lived. Renamed the *American Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology*, the Journal was revived, renumbered with volume 1, in 1920 by the C. V. Mosby Company (now Mosby, Inc.), which continues to hold the copyright.

The last editor of the original publication, Dr George Kosmak, resumed his duties and held the position for another 33 years. The Journal has had only 9 subsequent editors, including the current editors-in-chief.

Since 2001, when Reed Elsevier purchased Harcourt, of which Mosby is a part, AJOG's publisher has been Elsevier, Inc.

The publisher, editors, and staff of the Journal are proud to uphold its long tradition of presenting groundbreaking research and clinical observations in all aspects of obstetrics and gynecology.